**Ethics Reflection**

Answer 2 of the following reflection questions; write at least 150 words on each.

1. How important is consistency in moral reasoning?
2. Does History show we have made moral progress?
3. Are scientists morally responsible for how their discoveries are used? Refer to one or more natural sciences as an area of knowledge?
4. Is ethics more a matter of the head or the heart?

Remember to use Key TOK language and refer to Ways Of Knowing and Areas Of Knowledge

1. logical consistency: Two claims are consistent when both can be true at the same time. For example, the claim “lying is sometimes acceptable” is consistent with the claim “lying is sometimes unacceptable.” This is because both of these claims could be correct.  Two claims are inconsistent when both cannot be true at the same time (but both could be false). For example, the claim “national health care would do more good than harm for America” is inconsistent with the claim “national health care would do more harm than good for America.” This is because while these claims cannot both be true at the same time, they could both be false.
2. I think that ethics cannot really be split into either a matter of the head OR the heart, as both of these aspects actually help to conclude to the outcome, the action or the behavior, but if I had to choose, I think it is more likely to be a matter of the heart, since while looking at different situations you always uptake it through your sense-perception which then translates it into emotions and only then it sends of signals to the head for action. However, without the heart, the head cannot survive, and without the head, the heart cannot survive.